Agenda Items

1) Educational Attainment:

- Provost Delgado described, for those not previously involved, the history and process for the ongoing Educational Attainment initiative, instituted by the UW System. The primary goal of Educational Attainment is to increase the number of baccalaureate degrees conferred throughout the UW system in the next 15 to 20 years. It is his opinion that this process will lead to more general purpose revenue and an increase in incentives through and from system. Two of the major goals for UWRF are a 1% increase in undergrads and new freshmen and significant growth in adult transfers.

- A discussion by the group touched on issues such as:
  - Need for more focused and sustained approach to degree seekers
  - Methods to accomplish the 1% growth
  - System understands that UWRF is unique in its distribution of residents
  - Office of Integrated Planning will be a significant resource for information but greater integration of the entire campus will be necessary
  - Many of these processes should be happening whether mandated by system or not.
  - There will be a gap in resources that will need to be filled – budgeting.
  - There should be integration of non-traditional students
  - Need to treat students as a precious commodity
  - Demonstrate our value to the system
  - Make sure that the process works before going wider with it as there are already many activities in process on campus

- A working group of campus members is completing a template for system and the Chancellor, Provost and VCAF are meeting with system to review UWRF’s template. In the near term, data will be sent to system and
discussions with key groups on campus will be held. After that it will be shared with more leaders and thinkers

2) Status report on “Living the Promise:”
   ● Key points of discussion
     o Fernando asked the question “how to do status updates?”
     o Need to go back to governance and departments
     o Suggested a public forum for late April to acquire input
     o A member suggested the use of management software
     o Question asked – “should Faculty Senate be collecting data from their committees?”
     o Fernando asked, “Should UPG still exist?” He’s been asked the question by several outside of group
     o Does Faculty Senate “charge” the committees to do their job? Appears to some that they do not.
     o If a significant portion of the campus still believes in “Living the Promise,” perhaps we can still make progress.
     o Perhaps forward to Faculty Senate what needs to be done
     o Need prioritization - what are the top issues?
     o Member stated that prioritization did occur in Faculty Senate

Meeting end time: 10:05 a.m.

Respectfully submitted by Jan Zoerb

Next Meeting March 10, 2010