Meeting was called to order by Jim Zimmerman (Chair) at 12:00 pm.

1. Motion (Mogen/Delgado) to approve the minutes for 11/19/10. Minutes approved as revised.

2. Motion (Mogen/Hukai) to bring to the floor the previously tabled motion (Spencer/Dooley – 11/5/10) to approve the request from CAFES to suspend toward termination the Food Science and Technology major. Motion passed (9 in favor/1 opposed).

3. Motion (Hukai/Williams) to approved a proposed revision to the Health Education major/minor. Discussion concerning the exact nature of the changes requested. The rationale statement accompanying the proposal did not appear to reflect the actual changes in the proposed major. Also, the total number of credits for the major did not appear consistent with the actual number of courses within the major. The committee also had questions concerning if the ‘Required Supporting Courses’ category of courses was included in the total number of credits for the major/minor. Motion (Williams/Boetel) to postpone until H&HP can revise/clarify material submitted. Motion Passed.

4. The chair shared with the committee the following set of charges to AP&P from the Executive Council of Faculty Senate:

   - Review, in consultation with the Assessment Committee, the program prioritization evaluation instrument, as used in 2008-2009, and provide recommendations on how it might be revised to serve as an ongoing tool to assist in future budgetary decisions, and program comparisons across campus.

   - Review the data-collection processes already available and/or currently utilized on campus (for other purposes) and make recommendations on how they may be used as part of an ongoing program-prioritization process. Also, provide recommendations of any areas where additional data will need to be collected or reconfigured to facilitate the proposed program prioritization instrument (see the above charge).

   - Investigate how the previous program-prioritization process was not useful in making budgeting decisions, as well as what revisions to the completed program-prioritization process would be appropriate to make an ongoing program-prioritization instrument a more useful tool for future budgetary decisions.
-Develop a mechanism for communicating program-prioritization implementation in the decision-making process.

Questions were raised concerning what was actually meant in the following part of the charge: Develop a mechanism for communicating program-prioritization implementation in the decision-making process.

Dawn Hukai will consult with the Faculty Senate Executive Council for clarification.

The chair of AP&P will meet with the chairs of Program Audit and Review Committee, and the Assessment committee to determine a strategy for AP&P to address the charge.

3. Motion (Delgado/Rainville) to adjourn. Motion passed.

Meeting adjourned at 12:55 pm.