September 8, 2015

To: Dean Van Galen, Chancellor
    116 North Hall
    University of Wisconsin-River Falls

From: James Graham, Chair
    Faculty Senate
    University of Wisconsin-River Falls

Re: UWRF Faculty Senate Motion 2015-16/7

The following motion was approved by the Faculty Senate 20-0-1 on September 2, 2015:

A motion from the Executive Committee to amend the Program Prioritization and Program Audit and Review document (page 2-3 and page 7) as follows:

(page 2-3)

Scoring: Unless noted otherwise for a specific factor, scores will be reported on a per capita basis (e.g. 15 publications for 10 FTE equals 1.5 per FTE). All scores will also be reported on a pro-rated basis. For example, when adjuncts are counted, an adjunct with a 20-percent annual appointment with two professional memberships in a given year will contribute 0.4 professional memberships (0.2 time appointment times 2 publications equals 0.4 publications). A second example is that a full-time faculty member co-authoring a publication with someone either at the university or in another institution in a given year will contribute 0.5 publications (One FTE times 0.5 publication equals 0.5 publications).—This is particularly important for Intellectual Strength category D.

(page 7)

D. The extent to which tenure-track faculty have remained actively engaged and up-to-date in their discipline.

Points: Sixty points are allocated to this measure. Programs will be scored and then placed into one of six categories (i.e. one-sixth in each category), worth 60, 50, 40, 30, 20, or 10, with 60 being the highest.

Process: Using a form provided by the Provost’s Office, each program chair or designee, will provide a list of items for their tenure-track faculty that
demonstrates their engagement and how up-to-date they are in their discipline (on a per capita, pro-rated basis, and within three years the Program and Audit Review committee will recommend a mechanism to include academic staff and report to the Senate each year regarding its progress beginning in 2013). Names are not necessary, and it should consist of a list of activities with numerical scores for each category (on a per capita, pro-rated basis) that cover the previous three-year period (for the purposes of this measure, the "year" is defined as ending on the last contract day of spring semester: *The Provost’s Office will provide chairs with a listing of faculty activities, using the categories within Activity Insight. This information will be presented on a per FTE basis. In addition, the Provost’s Office will make available supplemental information (e.g., C.V. Information).* Chairs will have the option to provide a narrative of no more than 2,500 characters that provides context for the data. Representative examples of items to include are: