Minutes of the UWRF Faculty Senate Executive Committee Meeting for April 25, 2012

Present: Marshall Toman (Chair; CAS); Todd A. Savage (Secretary; CEPS); Dennis Cooper (At-Large; CAFES); Hossein Najafi (At-Large; CBE); Patricia Berg (CAS)

Invitees: Alice Reilly-Myklebust, Terry Ferriss, Joe O’Kroy, Steve Kelm, Jennifer Borup, Florence Monsour, Cyndi Kernahan, Kurt Leichtle, Ken Stofferahn, Darryl Miller, Reza Rahgozar, Kelly Cain

Agenda – April 25, 2012

The 2011-2012 UWRF Faculty Senate Executive Committee met on Wednesday, April 25, 2012 from 3:05 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. in 114 North Hall.

Call to Order and Approval of Minutes

1. Call to order

   The meeting was called to order by Marshall Toman (Chair) at 3:05 p.m.

2. Approval of the minutes from the April 11, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate Executive Committee

   Dennis Cooper moved to approve the minutes from the April 11, 2012 meeting of the Executive Committee; Hossein Najafi seconded this movement. The minutes were approved unanimously.

Reports

Chair’s Report (Marshall Toman, CAS)

Some Numbers

   Professors: 209

   Instructor: 1; Assistant: 49; Associate: 42; Full: 116; Emeritus: 1.

   Instructional Academic Staff: 165

   Associate Lecturer: 75; Lecturer: 69; Senior Lecturer: 18; Distinguished Lecturer: 3.

Pay Plan

   The full $2,500 for 40 faculty will be included on the June 1, 2012 check. The next step is to work to identify the 40 for 2012-2013 in order that the salary adjustment may be implemented over the pay periods.

General Education Review

   March 31, 2012-April 19, 2012       General Education Timeline
Action Steps
1. An outside evaluator is identified by the joint work of the General Education Committee and the Assessment Committee, assisted perhaps by the provost’s office, by October 30, 2012, and invited to campus for a visit in February 2013.
2. The Assessment Committee - working with David Trechter and Michael Miller in the Provost’s Office and in consultation with the General Education Committee - creates an appropriate survey instrument by October 30, 2013, for UWRF alumni and administers the survey in time to incorporate the results into the December 1 Report for the outside evaluator.
3. Joy Benson, prior to or concurrent with Step 2 immediately above, ponders any refinements to the process that could help the assessment achieve its goals (which NSSE items to examine, if that becomes a measurement, for example) and communicates any ideas to Dawn Hukai and Don Leake.
5. A program review report is approved by the General Education Committee no later than January 23, 2013. This report is forwarded simultaneously to the outside evaluator, APP, and to the Assessment Committee.
6. Within two weeks of receipt of the General Education Report, the Assessment Committee forwards comments to APP. (See the Handbook as to the duties of the Assessment Committee).
7. February: Visit and report by the outside evaluator.
8. General Education makes recommendations to the Faculty Senate concerning the structure of General Education if any are warranted as a result of the review (including both the General Education Committee’s findings and the outside evaluator’s report, as well as any comments by the Assessment Committee and APP) by March 21, 2013. Note: the Handbook states that recommendations for change in the structure flow directly to the Senate from the General Education Committee. However, the Handbook is somewhat ambiguous on the matter. The duties of the Assessment Committee, for example, indicate that it is to conduct the General Education review and pass recommendations to APP. This part of the process has been agreed upon as needing to be modified in the context; but this part of the process has also been acknowledged/accommodated in #6. Finally, at the same time as the recommendations are forwarded by General Education to the Faculty Senate Executive Committee, copying APP is advised.

New Personnel System
Share your thoughts: University Personnel Systems (UPS) feedback invited
Human resources leaders worked with employees and experts from institutions throughout the University of Wisconsin System over the last several months to develop draft recommendations for two new, distinct University Personnel Systems (UPS). Now, the time has come to welcome feedback and direction from the thousands of employees who daily help make our Wisconsin system of higher education the highly-regarded, globally-respected system it is.

One new UPS system will serve UW-Madison. The other will serve the rest of the UW-System institutions, colleges and the UW-Extension. These new systems, scheduled to go into effect in July 2013, are the result of a first wave of new flexibilities the state legislature granted UW-System institutions in 2011.
The goals in this process are clear. According to UW-System, the two UPS policies will draw from “aspects of the unclassified and classified service that work best, creating in one system of university employment...” These new policies are being designed to help simplify titling structures, protect existing employee rights and establish a compensation structure that enables the UW-System to recruit and retain the best, most diverse and most qualified employees.

- With the input and guidance of several UW-River Falls colleagues, UW-System has now reached the point of sharing first drafts of these two new recommendations with all employees. We invite each and every one of our UW-River Falls employees to review the drafts at the UW-System UPS website: ACCESS THE UW SYSTEM UPS WEBSITE AND REVIEW THE RECOMMENDATIONS.

Be sure to particularly review the draft UPS recommendations - the UW-System-institution version from the link above - that directly applies to our UW-River Falls employees. Remember, it is only a first draft, and your feedback can help enhance the eventual, final policy.

A PowerPoint presentation will also be available on the UW-River Falls Human Resources website the week of April 23, 2012.

Next, take a few minutes to share your feedback regarding the recommendations on the fast, online survey UW-River Falls has designed to collect employees’ thoughts and opinions. [http://uwrf.qualtrics.com/SE/?SID=SV_29QxfVlRt5zBD4U](http://uwrf.qualtrics.com/SE/?SID=SV_29QxfVlRt5zBD4U)

The survey will close on May 24, 2012 with a final summary report being submitted to UW-System on May 31, 2012.

If you would prefer to hand-write your feedback you may do so, and send it to the UW-River Falls Office of Human Resources, 216 North Hall.

If you have specific questions on the UPS draft proposals or care to share feedback via e-mail, direct your messages to Donna Robole, director of human resources, at donna.robole@uwrf.edu.

Please, note there will be additional opportunities for campus-level input again in the fall. See, for example, Phase V, that will take place early in the fall semester: [http://web.uwsa.edu/personnelsystems/timeline/phase-v/](http://web.uwsa.edu/personnelsystems/timeline/phase-v/)

Thank you for your time and your contributions to UW-River Falls, the UW-System, and the state of Wisconsin.

**Strategic Plan Initiatives**

The Chancellor indicated approximately $200,000 - some on-going, some one-time funding - has been set aside to accomplish or partially accomplish this year’s initiatives. All work on these initiatives is subject to faculty governance.

**Sustainability Working Group’s Climate Action Plan**

An 88-page document stands behind a forthcoming resolution regarding UWRF’s attempts to be further environmentally friendly. The document will be forwarded prior to an agenda that includes a Climate Action Resolution.
Advisory Council on Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs (ATOD)

a. **NOT A motion (but a report item)** from the Faculty Senate Executive Committee (Marshall Toman, Chair) to recognize and officially establish as an administrative committee the Chancellor's Advisory Council on Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs with the following vision, mission, purpose, and membership, and nominate the identified faculty members to serve on the Committee for staggered terms.

b. **Vision:** To create a sustainable campus community where the harmful effects of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs are minimized.

c. **Mission:** To serve as an advisory council to the Chancellor and the campus on alcohol, tobacco, and other drug issues affecting campus and the community.

d. **Purpose:** The Council will review, evaluate, and forward to the Chancellor recommendations on initiatives, efforts, and policies, and it will serve as an advocacy body for these issues.

e. **Membership** includes interested students (appointed by the Student Senate for two-year terms); four faculty, one from each college (appointed by Faculty Senate to three-year terms), and staff (recommended by the Academic Staff Council and approved by the Faculty Senate for three-year terms) as well as up to two community members (voting, identified by the Council and appointed by the Chancellor for their role in these issues); Director of Student Health and Counseling Services (ex officio, voting, and chair).

f. Todd Wilkinson (CAS, 2012-2015), Stacy Furness (CEPS, 2012-2015), Brian Schultz (CBE, 2012-2014), and Holly Dolliver (CAFES, 2012-2013) are recommended to fill the terms indicated.

**This item will appear as a report, not a motion, on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate.**

**Student Evaluations of Online Courses**

With no approved, online instrument and the requirement that probationary faculty conduct evaluations for each course and tenured faculty periodically, do we need an interim policy?

Could a department or a college use its own survey instrument just as faculty members could use their own in any of their classes in addition to the one administered by the university? If the online instrument encompassed the UWRF one but asked whatever additional questions were desired for the online context and the administration of the survey was similar (toward the end of the term, guaranteeing anonymity, etc.), it seems that a unit would be in conformity with the basic policy absent any specific guidelines.

I think there is a contradiction in our current policy in that there is no approved, online instrument and yet the requirement that all probationary faculty members be evaluated. In this position, I would encourage but not insist the instrument used by CBE be in conformity with the requirements of the paper evaluations (all courses for probationary faculty members, discretionary at certain intervals or continuously for tenured professors).

**ILTC**

The ILTC will report out on the following items at the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate:
1. Course offerings
2. Online courses
3. Technology in the classroom

2012-2013 Faculty Senate
At the conclusion of business on May 2, 2012, the 2011-2012 Faculty Senate will adjourn. From there, the Acting Chair will convene the 2012-2013 Faculty Senate and conduct the elections for the new Faculty Senate Executive Committee.

Vice Chair (Marshall Toman, CAS)

Termination Committee
There was a 30-way tie for the top six positions. The 30 nominees were contacted; those willing to serve appear on the elections ballot for the Termination Committee.

Committee Vacancies
There was a need to update the possibilities twice.

(1) The ad hoc Experience China Committee (all members had a term that expired) was omitted (there is no set number of faculty beyond what is practical);
(2) The CAS position for the Technology Council, which expired in 2012, was omitted.

Both are now listed.

If anyone wishes to change choices as a result of this announcement (or for any other reason), that person can go back to the survey (unlike with elections) and make changes up to (at least) the printing of the report the new Faculty Senate Executive Committee will use to nominate faculty members to serve.

Unfinished Business

1. Committee Appointments
   - Technology Council (CEPS)
   - Audit and Review Committee (CEPS)

No action was taken on unfinished business at this meeting.

Old Business

1. Motion from the Academic Standards Committee (Terry Ferriss, Chair) to add 8.8.8.1 to the UWRF Faculty and Academic Staff Handbook regarding a drop and add policy for the summer and J-term sessions.

   Hossein Najafi moved to have this motion put on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate; Todd A. Savage seconded this motion. The motion passed (3 yeas, 1 nay, 0 abstentions amongst voting members present at this point in the meeting). It will appear on the agenda under Old Business.

   Dennis Cooper then moved to have a proposed policy in this regard developed by him endorsed as an alternative motion to the one proposed by the Academic Standards Committee above; Pat Berg seconded this motion. The motion (2 yeas; 2 nays amongst voting members present at this point in the meeting). The motion failed.
2. Motion from the Affirmative Action Advisory Committee (Jennifer Borup, Chair), Disability Committee (Florence Monsour, Chair), and the Diversity and Inclusivity Committee (Cyndi Kernahan, Chair) to place the following statements on a University Syllabus Page:

   a. The University of Wisconsin-River Falls strives to maintain our campus as a place of work and study for faculty, staff, and students that is free of all forms of prohibited discrimination and harassment. If you have concerns about such behavior, contact your instructor, the Assistant Director in the Office of Student Rights & Responsibilities at 715-425-4555, or the Office of Equity, Diversity and Inclusion at 715-425-3833. For a list of prohibited behaviors and protected classes or to report online, please, see the following link: http://www.uwrf.edu/EquityDiversityInclusion/incident.cfm.

   b. The University of Wisconsin-River Falls welcomes students with disabilities into its educational programs, activities, residential halls, and everything else it offers. Those who will need academic adjustments or accommodations for a disability should contact the Disability Services Office (basement of East Hathorn Hall; 715-425-3531). Decisions to allow adjustments and accommodations are made by the Disability Services Office on the basis of clinical documentation the students provide to sufficiently indicate the nature of their situation. Additional information is at: www.uwrf.edu/Housing/DisabilityServices.cfm.

   c. BACKGROUND, NOT PART OF THE MOTION: The Affirmative Action Committee, with guidance from Andriel Dees, has decided to withdraw any recommendation for a welcome statement that would be on each syllabus and provide the link to the University Syllabus Page. We decided that it really isn’t the place of any of our “diversity” committees to develop or maintain that page. The statement that we developed was focused on only those issues our committees are concerned about at this point, harassment, discrimination and accommodation. The syllabus page will eventually have a number of different topics and those need to be identified in that welcome.

   d. NOT PART OF THE MOTION: We recommend that the Faculty Senate identify the appropriate committee/person to be responsible for developing and maintaining the Faculty Syllabus Page. We would like to see the Senate approve the above statements as elements of that Syllabus Page.

   This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under Old Business.

New Business

1. Motion from the Faculty Senate Executive Committee (Marshall Toman, Chair) to approve the membership of the Strategic Plan Progress Committee (SPPC).

   By motion 2011-2012/74, the SPPC was established (December 14, 2011). The following people will fill the designated positions.

   1. The Faculty Senate Chair, ex-officio (TBD)
   2. A faculty member appointed by Faculty Senate: Marshall Toman
3. An Academic Staff nominee (confirmed by Senate): Wendy Helm
4. A tenured academic administrator appointed by the Provost: Dale Galenberg
5. A member of the Cabinet appointed by the Chancellor who will chair the committee: Provost Delgado
6. A student member appointed by the Student Senate (TBD)
7. An ex-officio, non-voting support staff person (TBD)

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the 2012-2013 Faculty Senate as an item under New Business.

2. Motion from the Academic Programs and Policies Committee (Steve Kelm, Chair) to authorize the attached new graduate alumni survey for the Program Audit and Review process, effective for the 2012-2013 cycle.

BACKGROUND, NOT PART OF THE MOTION: This motion was in response to the following charge to AP&P from Faculty Senate: Make a recommendation regarding the authorization of the development of a new graduate alumni survey for the Program Audit and Review process to be used by the MBA and MSE-Reading programs as their PAR alumni survey for their 2012-2013 reviews and as a test for use generally by subsequent graduate reviews.

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under the Consent Agenda.

3. Motion from the Academic Programs and Policies Committee (Steve Kelm, Chair) to accept the recent alumni survey data compiled for their national accreditations by the School Psychology and Communicative Disorders programs as a proxy for the graduate alumni survey used within Program Audit and Review during the 2012-2013 cycle.

BACKGROUND, NOT PART OF THE MOTION: This motion was in response to the following charge to AP&P from Faculty Senate: Make a recommendation regarding accepting as a proxy for the alumni survey currently in use as part of Program Audit and Review (which, in the current form, contains some questions that are applicable only to undergraduate programs) the recent alumni survey data compiled for their national accreditations by the School Psychology and Communicative Disorders programs.

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under the Consent Agenda.

4. Motion from the Academic Programs and Policies Committee (Steve Kelm, Chair) to accept the following definition for “academic programs” at UW

Academic program
An organized group of college-level, credit-bearing courses, and their related experiences, designed to be used within the fulfillment of a degree or certificate.
Examples include but are not limited to majors, minors, emphases, certificates, SAE, ITC, WIS, General Education, Liberal arts, and Foundation Courses in Agriculture.

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under the Consent Agenda.

5. Motion from the Academic Programs and Policies Committee (Steve Kelm, Chair) to approve the proposed program changes within Broad Area Business Administration-Finance option, Broad Area Business Administration-Management option, and Broad Area Business Administration-Marketing option.

Faculty of the Departments of Accounting & Finance and Management & Marketing wish to change the names of the Broad Area Majors in Business Administration to names based on benchmarking a sample of AACSB-accredited business schools similar to our own.

Current Major Names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Major Name</th>
<th>Proposed Major Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broad Area Business Administration-Finance Option</td>
<td>Business Administration-Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad Area Business Administration-Management Option</td>
<td>Business Administration-Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad Area Business Administration – Marketing Option</td>
<td>Business Administration-Marketing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed and Approved by Depts. of Accounting & Finance and Management & Marketing

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under the Consent Agenda.

6. Motion from the Academic Programs and Policies Committee (Steve Kelm, Chair) to approve the proposed program change within the Broad Area Accounting major.

Current Major Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Major Name</th>
<th>Proposed Major Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broad Area Accounting</td>
<td>Business Administration-Accounting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed and Approved by Depts. of Accounting & Finance

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under the Consent Agenda.

7. Motion from the Academic Programs and Policies Committee (Steve Kelm, Chair) to approve the proposed program changes to Film Studies minor.
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BACKGROUND, NOT PART OF THE MOTION: The (attached) narrative PDF contains letters of support from other program chairs who may be impacted. In some cases, there appears to have been a glitch in the conversion of the text, so some of the letters of support appear to be a mass of symbols. The Director of the Film Studies Minor included the letters of support in hard copy, and the Acting Chair of the Senate is in possession of these documents.

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under the Consent Agenda.

8. Motion from the Academic Programs and Policies Committee (Steve Kelm, Chair) to approve the proposed program changes in the Broad Field Social Sciences major.

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under the Consent Agenda.

9. Motion from the Executive Committee (Marshall Toman, Chair), based on the recommendation of the Academic Programs and Policies Committee (Steve Kelm, Chair) to accept provisionally, pending final approval based on further analysis of details, the combined Program Prioritization and Program Audit and Review (PP-PAR) tool as presented in the attachments labeled “2012-3-23-PP_PAR_overview_triad.doc.docx” and “2012-03-23-PP_scoring_sheet.xlsx.”

This motion will not appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate.

10. Motion from the Faculty Senate Executive Committee (Marshall Toman, Chair) and based upon the recommendation of the Academic Programs and Policies Committee (Steve Kelm, Chair), to constitute an ad hoc committee called the PP-PAR Committee. This committee is charged with working through the details of PP-PAR using as the framework the combined Program Prioritization and Program Audit and Review (PP-PAR) tool as identified in the immediately preceding motion and reporting to Senate by December 1, 2012. The PP-PAR Committee shall sunset on February 15, 2013.

The committee shall be composed of the following members:

1. Controller or Budget Director
2. Director of Institutional Research
3. Chair of the Faculty Welfare Committee
4. Chair of the Assessment Committee
5. Chair or member of the URSCA Task Force
6. Chair of APP
7. CIO or Information Systems project lead
8. Representative from Student Affairs or Career Services
9. Director, Survey Research Center
10. Associate VC for Academic Affairs/Chair of the Program Audit & Review Committee
11. Chair of Faculty Senate or member of the Fac. Sen. Executive Committee
12. Faculty Member At-Large

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under New Business.

11. Motion from the Academic Standards Committee (Terry Ferriss, Chair) to have transcripts list the degree as the first level, the major(s) listed on the next line including any options and/or emphasis and the minor(s) would be listed below the major. The degree date and the degree GPA (GPA at the time the degree is awarded) would also be recorded on the transcript.

It is recommended to place this policy in the UWRF Faculty and Academic Staff Handbook as 8.2.30.

The policy would take affect for fall 2012 graduates.

BACKGROUND, NOT PART OF THE MOTION: The manner in which a student's degree, major, minor, emphasis is printed on the official transcript is currently inconsistent across campus. For example, in some cases the name of the college is attached to the degree title. This policy would provide clarity and consistency across programs as to what information relating to the academic program should be printed in the "degree box" on the transcript at the time of graduation. The proposed motion is consistent with what many other institutions include on the transcript. This is a new policy.

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under the Consent Agenda.

12. Motion from Academic Standards (Terry Ferriss, Chair) in order to provide consistency across the campus, the only variables to be printed on the diploma shall be the student's name, the approved UW-System degree title, and Latin honors for both undergraduate and graduate degrees. The names of majors will not be printed on the diploma.

It is recommended to include this policy in the UWRF Faculty and Academic Staff Handbook as 8.2.31.

This policy would take affect for fall 2012 graduates.

BACKGROUND, NOT PART OF THE MOTION: Currently there are 21 different possible listings on UWRF diplomas. There are 10 official types of degrees approved by UW-System to be offered at UWRF. The approved degree titles are not consistent with what is printed on the diplomas. Currently some students have the name of the major listed, others have a degree (though it may not be an officially approved degree title), and some even have degrees with the name of the college embedded in the title. The Academic Standards Committee reviewed our current practice, polled department chairs on preferences of what would be printed, polled a small student sample and examined what other UW-System institutions practice. The majority of department chairs preferred to have only the degree titles included on the diploma. All of the students wanted the degree title and the name of their major. Most other UW institutions include only the degree title, only Stout and Platteville include the name of the student's major. Based on
these findings and the belief that consistency across campus is important, the committee has developed and submitted the following motion. This is a new policy.

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under New Business.

13. Motion from the Sustainability Working Group (Kelly Cain, Chair) to adopt the following definitions:

**UWRF ‘Sustainability’ Definition**
“UWRF defines sustainability as our local and global responsibility to meet the needs of present and future generations, as demonstrated by an integrated set of ecologic, social, and economic values, principles, and practices that frame how we think, choose, and act in personal, professional, and community life.”

**UWRF ‘Sustainability Research’ Definition**
“Research, scholarly, and creative activity that examines, documents, and portrays the breadth and depth of basic and applied contexts of UWRF’s definition of Sustainability. [UWRF defines sustainability as our local and global responsibility to meet the needs of present and future generations, as demonstrated by an integrated set of ecologic, social, and economic values, principles, and practices that frame how we think, choose, and act in personal, professional, and community life.]”

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under the Consent Agenda.

14. Motion from the Faculty Senate Executive Committee (Marshall Toman, Chair) to approve the following charge to the International Programs Committee: In relation to the Year-Of…initiative of the current strategic plan Pathway to Distinction, to identify countries, plan for events (to the appropriate pre-annual depth), and coordinate the current year’s events for three countries on a rolling horizon, with the first Year-of-… beginning in the 2013-2014 academic year.

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under the Consent Agenda.

15. Motion from the Faculty Senate Executive Committee (Marshall Toman, Chair) to approve the following charge to the Faculty Welfare and Personnel Policy Committee: In relation to the Leadership Institute initiative of the current strategic plan Pathway to Distinction, to develop and institute an ongoing leadership program to train and inform all UWRF personnel who are responsible for managing academic departments, units, and colleges of their responsibilities, duties, the responsibilities of those whom they supervise, and the personnel policies of UWRF and UWS. Particular emphasis is to be paid to departmental chair development for 2012-2013.

This motion will appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate as an item under the Consent Agenda.
Miscellaneous New Business

1. Hossein Najafi moved that the current instructor evaluation form in place at UWRF may be used by departments for online courses until an appropriate alternative is developed; Dennis Cooper seconded this motion. The motion passed unanimously. Given the timeliness of the matter, the Faculty Senate Executive Committee is acting on behalf of the Faculty Senate in this regard and it will forward this motion to Faculty Senate for its confirmation at its May 2, 2012 meeting.

2. Motion from the ad hoc Committee on Graduate Studies

This motion will not appear on the agenda for the May 2, 2012 meeting of the Faculty Senate.

3. Union on campus

An issue was recently raised by a faculty member about the relationship between the union and faculty governance. This issue was discussed by Faculty Senate Executive Committee and it will appear as a report to Faculty Senate as a point of information at its May 2, 2012 meeting.

Adjournment

Adjournment of the meeting occurred by consensus of the Faculty Senate Executive Committee. The meeting was adjourned at 6:00 p.m.