Secondary Education Initial Certification Assessment Plan (Graduate)

I. Student Learning Outcomes:

The Teacher Education Department uses the Ten Wisconsin Teaching Standards to assess Teacher Education majors. These learner outcomes prescribe required competencies for teacher certification.

Wisconsin Standards for Teacher Development and Licensure: To receive a license to teach in Wisconsin, an applicant shall complete an approved program and demonstrate proficient performance in the knowledge, skills and dispositions under all of the following standards:

1. Teachers know the subjects they are teaching.
   The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the disciplines she or he teaches and can create learning experiences that make these aspects of subject matter meaningful for pupils.

2. Teachers know how children grow.
   The teacher understands how children with broad ranges of ability learn and provides instruction that supports their intellectual, social, and personal development.

3. Teachers understand that children learn differently.
   The teacher understands how pupils differ in their approaches to learning and the barriers that impede learning and can adapt instruction to meet the diverse needs of pupils, including those with disabilities and exceptionalities.

4. Teachers know how to teach.
   The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies, including the use of technology, to encourage children's development of critical thinking, problem solving, and performance skills.

5. Teachers know how to manage a classroom.
   The teacher uses an understanding of individual and group motivation and behavior to create a learning environment that encourages positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation.

6. Teachers communicate well.
   The teacher uses effective verbal and nonverbal communication techniques as well as instructional media and technology to foster active inquiry, collaboration, and supportive interaction in the classroom.

7. Teachers are able to plan different kinds of lessons.
   The teacher organizes and plans systematic instruction based upon knowledge of subject matter, pupils, the community, and curriculum goals.

8. Teachers know how to test for student progress.
   The teacher understands and uses formal and informal assessment strategies to evaluate and ensure the continuous intellectual, social, and physical development of the pupil.

9. Teachers are able to evaluate themselves.
   The teacher is a reflective practitioner who continually evaluates the effects of his or her choices and actions on pupils, parents, professionals in the learning community and others and who actively seeks out opportunities to grow professionally.

10. Teachers are connected with other teachers and the community.
    The teacher fosters relationships with school colleagues, parents, and agencies in the larger community to support pupil learning and well-being and acts with integrity, fairness and in an ethical manner.
II. Identification of where outcomes are being achieved.

Each Teacher Education major has a matrix that indicates where the learner outcomes are achieved throughout the curriculum. Specific course assignments become artifacts to demonstrate competence in each of the ten Wisconsin teaching standards, and are collected in an electronic portfolio. This electronic portfolio later serves as the Certification Portfolio. What follows is the Artifact Matrix for Secondary Education Initial certification majors.

This matrix is available on-line at: http://www.uwrf.edu/tlc/portfolio/matrix.htm
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WISCONSIN TEACHER STANDARDS</th>
<th>COURSE INFO</th>
<th>ARTIFACT OPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Teachers know the subjects they are teaching.</td>
<td>TED 614</td>
<td>Interdisciplinary Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED 425-441 (Techniques)</td>
<td>Lesson or unit plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>READ 622</td>
<td>Lesson plan or long term plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED 614</td>
<td>Student Surveys and Teacher Interviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED 425-441 (Techniques)</td>
<td>Lesson or unit plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED 745</td>
<td>Field lab experience and site-based seminars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Teachers know how children grow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COURSE INFO</th>
<th>ARTIFACT OPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>READ 622</td>
<td>Lecture plan, lesson plan, or field reflection journals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED 745</td>
<td>Field lab experience and site-based seminars or teaching episode video analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYC 530</td>
<td>Field Experience Paper or Reflection on Guest Speaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED 414/614</td>
<td>Interdisciplinary Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED 623</td>
<td>Student Portfolio of Lesson Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED 425-441 (Techniques)</td>
<td>Lesson or unit plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Teachers understand that children learn differently.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COURSE INFO</th>
<th>ARTIFACT OPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TED 614</td>
<td>Interdisciplinary Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED 623</td>
<td>Student Portfolio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED 425-441 (Techniques)</td>
<td>Lesson or unit plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED 745</td>
<td>Micro-teaching lesson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Teachers know how to manage a classroom.</td>
<td>TED 745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TED 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Teachers communicate well.</td>
<td>TED 745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TED 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>READ 622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TED 425-441 (Techniques)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Teachers are able to plan different kinds of lessons.</td>
<td>TED 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TED 623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>READ 622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TED 425-441 (Techniques)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Teachers know how to test for student progress.</td>
<td>READ 622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TED 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TED 623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TED 425-441 (Techniques)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Teachers are able to evaluate themselves.</td>
<td>READ 622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TED 425-441 (Techniques)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TED 745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) Teachers are connected with other teachers and the community.</td>
<td>TED 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>READ 622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TED 745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. Assessment Tools used to Measure Outcomes
(Direct & Indirect measures all assess 10 WI Teaching Standards)

A. Direct Measures:
   1. Certification Portfolios – (electronic portfolios in CD format)
   2. Student Teaching Evaluations
   3. Field Experience Evaluations
      (See attached samples)

B. Indirect Measures:
   1. Student Teacher Survey, Self report (sample attached)
   2. Future: Graduate and Employer Follow-up Surveys (to be created)
Field Experience Evaluation

Student Name __________________________
ID# __________________________
School __________________________
Grade/Subject __________________________

Please check the appropriate box for each Element of a Standard. The standards are the Wisconsin Teacher Standards.

Standards 1, 4, and 8 are not evaluated in this experience.

Standard 2. Teachers know how students grow.
Element Beginning Developing Not observed
A. Developmentally
Appropriate Interactions
☐ Candidate sometimes makes choices that are below or above the developmental level of the students he/she is working with.
☐ Candidate usually interacts with students at their developmental level.
☐ Not observed

Standard 3. Teachers understand that students learn differently.
Element Beginning Developing Not observed
A. Meeting Learning
Needs/Styles
☐ Candidate seems unaware that students learn differently.
☐ Candidate sometimes makes choices based on students’ individual learning differences
☐ Not observed

Standard 5. Teachers know how to manage a classroom.
Element Beginning Developing Not observed
A. Directions and Explanations
☐ Candidate’s directions and explanations are difficult for students to follow.
☐ Candidate’s directions and explanations are sometimes clear to students.
☐ Not observed

B. Academic Climate
☐ Candidate has little enthusiasm for the content or for teaching, does not use positive reinforcement.
☐ Candidate is generally positive about the content and teaching; uses some positive reinforcement.
☐ Not observed

C. Labs and Small Groups
☐ Candidate appears unaware of group or lab dynamics; seems unaware of lab safety issues.
☐ Candidate sometimes responds to group or lab dynamics; usually attentive to lab safety issues.
☐ Not observed
D. Interactions with Students
☐ Candidate interactions with students are inconsistent, unfair, or inappropriate.
☐ Candidate’s interactions are generally consistent and fair.
☐ Not observed

Comments on Standards 1-5:
TED745

Standard 6. Teachers communicate well.
Element Beginning Developing Not observed
A. Writing Skills
☐ Candidate uses unclear or incorrect writing, fails to communicate.
☐ Candidate usually uses clear, correct writing, communicates effectively.
☐ Not observed
B. Speaking Skills
☐ Candidate uses unclear or incorrect speech, fails to communicate.
☐ Candidate usually uses clear, correct speech, communicates effectively.
☐ Not observed

Standard 7. Teachers are able to plan different kinds of lessons.
Element Beginning Developing Not observed
A. Advance Planning
☐ Candidate does not show evidence of planning.
☐ Candidate usually plans ahead before entering the classroom.
☐ Not observed

Standard 9. Teachers are able to evaluate themselves. Candidate’s ability to reflect on performance in the field is evaluated in the university classroom.

Standard 10. Teachers are connected with other teachers and the community.
Element Beginning Developing Not observed
A. Professional Relationships
☐ Candidate does not attempt to establish a working relationship with the cooperating teacher.
☐ Candidate attempts to establish working relationship with the cooperating teacher.
☐ Not observed
B. Integrity, Fairness, and Ethical Behavior
☐ Candidate does not always act with integrity and fairness and in an ethical manner; does not maintain confidentiality.
☐ Candidate always acts with integrity and fairness and in an ethical manner; maintains confidentiality.
☐ Not observed
C. School Policies and Dependability
☐ Candidate ignores one or more school policies, which may include appropriate dress; is late or undependable.
☐ Candidate usually respects all school policies, including appropriate dress; is on time and dependable.
☐ Not observed
Comments on Standards 6-10:
Cooperating Teacher Date University Instructor
Within one week of the conclusion of the field experience, the cooperating teacher should mail this evaluation and the accompanying log to the instructor of the course.

University of Wisconsin-River Falls
River Falls, WI 54022
C. Indirect measures: This Student Teaching Survey Self-report is collected after students have completed their coursework and their student teaching experience.

Follow-up questionnaire for teacher education graduates
University of Wisconsin-System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information About You and Your Teaching</th>
<th>Circle or write in your answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Gender:</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Racial/ethnic identity:</td>
<td>Amer. Indian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. At what grade levels will you be licensed?</td>
<td>High School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. In what content areas (if any) will you be licensed?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please place an X in the box that describes your opinion of your teacher preparation program at UW-River Falls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wisconsin Teacher Standards</th>
<th>Very well prepared</th>
<th>Fairly well prepared</th>
<th>Poorly prepared</th>
<th>Not prepared</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard 1: Teachers know the subjects they are teaching.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well were you prepared to teach essential content and concepts?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well were you prepared to teach knowledge and skills in content areas in ways that help students learn?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 2: Teachers know how children grow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well were you prepared to understand and support students’ intellectual development?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To understand and support their social and personal development?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 3. Teachers understand that children learn differently.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well were you prepared to teach students with disabilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To teach students from diverse cultural/ethnic communities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To teach students who are English Language Learners?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Wisconsin Teacher Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 4. Teachers know how to teach.</th>
<th>Very well prepared</th>
<th>Fairly well prepared</th>
<th>Poorly prepared</th>
<th>Not prepared</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How well were you prepared to teach using a variety of instructional strategies?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To integrate technology in your teaching?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To promote critical thinking, problem solving, and performance skills?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 5: Teachers know how to manage classrooms.</th>
<th>Very well prepared</th>
<th>Fairly well prepared</th>
<th>Poorly prepared</th>
<th>Not prepared</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How well were you prepared to promote engagement in learning?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To promote positive social development?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To promote group and individual motivation?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To promote positive behaviors?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 6: Teachers communicate well.</th>
<th>Very well prepared</th>
<th>Fairly well prepared</th>
<th>Poorly prepared</th>
<th>Not prepared</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How well were you prepared to communicate with students?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To use different forms of media for communication?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 7. Teachers are able to plan different kinds of lessons.</th>
<th>Very well prepared</th>
<th>Fairly well prepared</th>
<th>Poorly prepared</th>
<th>Not prepared</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How well were you prepared to plan instruction using knowledge of subject matter?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of your students?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of the community where you teach?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National, state, and district curriculum goals or standards?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard 8. Teachers know how to test for student progress.</th>
<th>Very well prepared</th>
<th>Fairly well prepared</th>
<th>Poorly prepared</th>
<th>Not prepared</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How well were you prepared to assess student learning, strengths and needs?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Teacher Standards</td>
<td>Very well prepared</td>
<td>Fairly well prepared</td>
<td>Poorly prepared</td>
<td>Not prepared</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard 9. Teachers are able to evaluate themselves.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well were you prepared to continually evaluate the effects of your choices and actions on others?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To evaluate and modify your teaching?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To seek and assume leadership responsibilities in your school or district?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To take advantage of professional development opportunities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To use student and parent feedback for self-assessment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard 10. Teachers are connected with other teachers and the community.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well were you prepared to connect with school colleagues and community agencies to support your students?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To work with parents and families?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To understand the politics of public education and work within its limits?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To live out ethical beliefs and values of the teaching profession?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall, how well did your teacher preparation program prepare you for student teaching? Grade your preparation A-F.</strong></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If you could do it over again, would you choose the same teacher certification program?</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your additional comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Indirect measures (continued):
   Future Graduate and Employer Survey (to be developed by Dean’s Office)
IV. Timetable Indicating the Cycle of Assessment & Continuous Improvement

The Teacher education Program has many assessments. Below is a summary of time table for various assessments and committees. In addition to these, the teacher education faculty examine randomly selected portfolios from each certification area and examine two or three selected outcomes each semester. Faculty met in the Fall of 2006 in small teams (2 or 3 faculty) to initiate this activity. (Assessment Reports and Minutes from this initial assessment activity are available.) Faculty have expressed a preference for the assessment activity in the Spring of 2007 to include student presentations of e-folios with more time devoted to discussions regarding the curriculum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Plan components</th>
<th>DPI</th>
<th>NCATE</th>
<th>NCA</th>
<th>Program Review Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning Outcomes</strong></td>
<td>10 WI Teacher Standards</td>
<td>10 WI Teacher Standards</td>
<td>10 WI Teacher Standards</td>
<td>10 WI Teacher Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct assessments</strong></td>
<td>Portfolio artifacts</td>
<td>Portfolio artifacts</td>
<td>Portfolio artifacts</td>
<td>Portfolio artifacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student teaching evaluations</td>
<td>Portfolio reflections</td>
<td>Student teaching evaluations</td>
<td>Student teaching evaluations</td>
<td>Student teaching evaluations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indirect Assessments</strong></td>
<td>Final student teaching evaluation</td>
<td>Final student teaching evaluation</td>
<td>Final student teaching evaluation</td>
<td>Final student teaching evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date of accreditation visit or program review meeting</strong></td>
<td>Spring 2010</td>
<td>Spring 2010</td>
<td>April 2008</td>
<td>Varies for programs: see below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date of required beginning of data collection</strong></td>
<td>Fall 2004</td>
<td>Fall 2004</td>
<td>Spring 2007 (but we have data since Fall 2004)</td>
<td>Spring 2007 (but we have data since Fall 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date of required evidence of data use</strong></td>
<td>Fall 2006 data must be used for program evaluation during Spring 2007</td>
<td>Fall 2006 data must be used for program evaluation during Spring 2007</td>
<td>Spring 2007 data must be used for program evaluation during Fall 2007</td>
<td>Spring 2007 data must be used for program evaluation during Fall 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Who requires the above?</strong></td>
<td>DPI</td>
<td>NCATE</td>
<td>Provost</td>
<td>Provost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Teacher Education Department also has an Assessment Committee. The following is a more in-depth look at the UWRF internal process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Date of next program review</th>
<th>Current status of program with university</th>
<th>Where to find information about program reviews</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading Masters’ Degree (Phinney)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Approved (2006) Needs assessment plan</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Licensure Master’s Degree (Monsour)</td>
<td>2011? 2013?</td>
<td>New program Needs assessment plan</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary Education Initial Certification Master’s Degree (Holleran)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Approved (2005) Needs assessment plan</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Childhood (Ward)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Not a “program” Needs assessment plan</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Education undergraduate (Miller)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Not a “program” Needs assessment plan</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Education graduate (Scheurman)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Not be a “program” Needs assessment plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TED Department Assessment Committee
Coordinator – Crotty
Elementary Undergraduate – Kruizenga/Phinney
Elementary Graduate – Holleran
Secondary Undergraduate – Miller/ Scheurman/Holleran
Secondary Graduate – Scheurman
Reading – Phinney
Early Childhood – Ward
Principal Licensure -- Monsour

NOTE: Certificate programs in Technology for Teachers, Service Learning, and Community Education, and Shared Inquiry Master’s Program can be reviewed through the Dean’s Office and Outreach unless TED chair prefers to take responsibility. There may be arguments for this, but currently Amber Tubre’ and Mary Manke are working on the Assessment Plans. It is possible that one or more of the certificates will be discontinued when Graduate Council carries out its 3-year review.
V. Data Presentation and Discussion Process

Fall 2006 semester faculty reviewed one randomly selected e-folio. In groups of two or three, faculty chose 2 or 3 of the ten WI standards to examine and reported back to the Department as a whole. Faculty discussed the possibility that corrections to the Artifact Matrix be made on an annual basis.

VI. Implementation of Revisions Based upon Assessment Results.

The faculty have suggested that a few students be invited to present their e-folio in the Spring semester. Faculty agreed that the process of sharing the content of the e-folio with a small group of faculty for each major, might result in better feedback on curriculum content. The Dean’s Office has requested that changes to the Artifact Matrix be limited to once a year and also be channeled through the Associate Dean for accreditation purposes.

VII. Results Availability.

All assessment Reports will be available on the college web site http://www.uwrf.edu/college-of-education/TED/welcome.htm and distributed during the meetings of the larger Education Preparation Visit. Results of the curriculum changes will also be evident in the revised Artifact Matrices.